UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,357	07/26/2006	Sinan Balci	016906-0534	6644
	7590 09/10/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIXI	WALBERG, TERESA J		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/587,357	BALCI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Teresa J. Walberg	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 M</u>	av 2008						
	/ 						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) Claim(s) 1,6,7 and 9-22 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) 1,6,7 and 9-22 is/are rejected.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	coloction requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 July 2006</u> is/are: a)[10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 <i>July</i> 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/587,357 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, 7, and 9-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson (5,954,123) in view of Simpson et al (2002/0189696).

Richardson discloses a metal side plate for radiators (Fig. 3) having tubes and heat exchanger surfaces extending between the tubes (Fig. 3), the radiator being enclosed by at least one metal side plate (4) arranged laterally on the radiator or at least one pair of metal side plates (4) arranged on either side of the radiator, at least one metal side plate exhibiting at least one weakened area (12) in which the material of the metal side plate is weakened in such a way as to allow compensation for the thermal expansion corresponding to that of the radiator body, the weakening of a weakened area is effected by perforation in the material of the metal side plate (Fig. 5), the insert plate being bent (5), at least in the area of weakening (Fig. 3), and is of a u-shaped execution (Fig. 3), the metal plate being used in a heat exchanger (Fig. 3).

Richardson does not discloses the perforation including a network of webs which delimits lozenges that are arranged such that acute tips of the lozenges point in a longitudinal extend of the plate.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,357 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

Simpson et al discloses expansion perforations including a network of webs which delimits lozenges that are arranged such that acute tips of the lozenges point in a longitudinal extend of a plate. See Figs. 1-3.

It would have been obvious in view of Simpson et al to provide expansion perforation including a plurality of webs in the plate of Richardson, the motivation being to enable improved expansion of the plate.

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6, 7, and 9-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Metcalfe is cited to show an expandable member including slots.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa J. Walberg whose telephone number is 571-272-4790. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,357 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Teresa J. Walberg/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

/TW/